Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/654,177	JALALI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean B. Corrielus	2611
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jean B. Corrielus</u> .	(3) Anatoly S Weiser.	
(2) Larry Moskowitz.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>3/28/06&amp; 3/29/06</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-8, 15, 17-18, 21 and 22  Prior art documents discussed:	· .	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
m loonieles 3.30.06	Magliografia Deservativa Ci	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Si	unature – it appropriate)

Application No. 09/654,177

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's rep. agrees to amend claims 15, 21 and 22 as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. In addition, applicant's rep. agrees to cancel claims non-elected claims 1-8 and and claims 17 and 18. The allowability of claims 17 and 18 was withdrawn because such claims read on newly found reference to Simonsson (US Patent No. 6,950,669) see for instance fig. 5. Such amendment is necessary in order to place the application in better form for allowance.